Modern Orthodox Judaism

Modern Orthodox Judaism (also **Modern Orthodox** or **Modern Orthodoxy**) is a movement within <u>Orthodox Judaism</u> that attempts to synthesize Jewish values and the observance of Jewish law, with the secular, modern world

Modern Orthodoxy draws on several teachings and philosophies, and thus assumes various forms. In the <u>United States</u>, and generally in the <u>Western world</u>, <u>"Centrist Orthodoxy"</u> – underpinned by the philosophy of <u>Torah Umadda</u> ("Torah and [Scientific] Knowledge") – is prevalent. In Israel, Modern Orthodoxy is dominated by <u>Religious Zionism</u>; however, although not identical, these movements share many of the same values and many of the same adherents.

Contents

Modern Orthodoxy

Characteristics Ideological spectrum The Behaviorally Modern Positioning

Philosophy

Torah im Derech Eretz Pragmatism Torah uMadda' Religious Zionism

Comparison with other movements

Haredi Judaism Neo-Orthodoxy/Torah Im Derech Eretz Religious Zionism Conservative Judaism

Criticism

Standards of observance Sociological and philosophical dilemmas

Important figures

Modern Orthodox advocacy groups

See also

Notes

References

Modern Orthodoxy

Modern Orthodoxy comprises a fairly broad spectrum of movements each drawing on several distinct, though related, philosophies, which in some combination provide the basis for all variations of the movement today

Characteristics

In general, Modern Orthodoxy's "overall approach ... is the belief that one can and should be a full member of modern society, accepting the risks to remaining observant, because the benefits outweigh those risks". [2] Jews should engage constructively with the world that they are in tofoster goodness and justicewithin both themselves and the lager community, such as by avoidings in their

personal lives while also caring for the unfortunate

Thus, Modern Orthodoxy holds that <u>Jewish law</u> is <u>normative</u> and binding, while simultaneously attaching a positive value to interaction with the modern world. In this view, as expressed by Rabbi <u>Saul Berman</u>, [3] Orthodox Judaism can "be enriched" by its intersection with modernity; further, "modern society creates opportunities to be productive citizens engaged in the Divine work of <u>transforming the world to benefit humanity</u>". At the same time, in order to preserve the integrity of <u>halakha</u>, any area of "powerful inconsistency and conflict" between Trah and modern culture must be filtered out. [4]

Modern Orthodoxy also assigns a central role to the "People of Israel". Here two characteristics are manifest: in general, Modern Orthodoxy places a high <u>national</u>, as well as religious, significance on the <u>State of Israel</u>, and institutions and individuals are, typically, <u>Zionist</u> in orientation; relatedly, involvement with non-orthodox Jews will extend beyond "<u>outreach</u>" to include institutional relations and cooperation; seefurther under *Torah Umadda*.

Other "core beliefs" [2] are a recognition of the value and importance of secular studies (see <u>Torah Umadda:Torah and secular knowledge</u>), a commitment to equality of education for both men and women, and a full acceptance of the importance of being able to financially support oneself and one's family (see Torah im Derech Eretz: Earning a livelihood); see below.

Ideological spectrum

The specific expression of Modern Orthodoxy, however, takes many forms, and particularly over the past 30-40 years, describes a political spectrum. Among the issues have been the extent to which Modern Orthodoxy should cooperate with the more liberal denominations, support secular academic pursuits combined with religious learning, and embrace efforts to give women a larger role in Jewish learning and worship, the acceptability of moderntextual criticism as a tool for Torah study is also debated. For further discussion, see Orthodox Judaism#Diversity within Orthodox Judaism; Joseph B. Soloveitchik#Debate over world view; Torah im Derech Eretz#Interpretation

To the <u>ideological right</u>, the line between <u>Haredi</u> and Modern Orthodox has blurred in recent years; some have referred to this trend as "haredization" [8] In addition to increasing stringency in adherence to <u>Halakha</u>, many Modern Orthodox Jews express a growing sense of alienation from the larger, secular culture. [8][9] ("Western civilisation has moved from what was once called the <u>Judeo-Christian</u> ethic to a <u>consumer-driven</u>, <u>choice-fixated</u> culture.... Such a world is not *chol*, but *chiloni*, not secular, but secularist. It is impermeable to the values of <u>kedushah</u>. "[10]) Here, "the balance has tipped heavily in favor of Torah over madda (secular studies) ... [and many] have redefined 'madda' as support for making one's livelihood in the secular world, not culturally or intellectually engaging with it."[8] Although defining themselves as "centrist", institutions here include the <u>Orthodox Union</u> (Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America), the Rabbinical Council of America and the Rabbi Isaac Elchanan Theological Seminary

Adherents on the <u>ideological left</u> have begun to develop new institutions that aim to be outward looking while maintaining a discourse between modernity and <u>halakhah</u>. The resultant "<u>Open Orthodoxy</u>" seeks to re-engage with secular studies, Jews of all denominations and global issues. Some within this movement have experimented with orthodox egalitarianism where gender equality solutions are found through <u>halakhah</u>. This has led to <u>women taking on more leadership roles</u>. Others in this movement are increasingly re-engaging with <u>social justice</u> issues from a halakhic point of view.^[11] See <u>Yeshivat Chovevei Torah</u>, <u>Shalom Hartman</u> Institute, Hebrew Institute of Riverdale Partnership minyan, Shira Hadasha, Migdal Oz.

The Behaviorally Modern

It is also note $d^{1][12]}$ that many Modern Orthodox are "behaviorally modern" as opposed to <u>"ideologically modern"</u> and, in truth, fall outside of "Modern" Orthodoxy, at least in the philosophical sense; see <u>below</u>. This phenomenon is sometimes termed "Social Orthodoxy".

The distinction is as follows: The ideologically modern are "meticulously observant of Halakha",^[12] and their interaction with the secular comprises a tangible expression of their ideology, wherever it may lie on the spectrum described. The "behaviorally modern", on the other hand, define themselves as "Modern Orthodox" only in the sense that they are neither Haredi ("Ultra-Orthodox") nor

<u>Conservative</u> these, in other words, are "not deeply concerned with philosophical ideas", [12] and, often, are not as careful in their observance.

This "Orthodoxy of convenience" has maintained a certain stability over time: as long as these don't seek to legitimize their behaviou in halakhic terms, the leadership of the (Modern) Orthodox world have no particular diffulty with them.^[1]

Positioning

Various highly differing views (or non views) - ranging from traditionalist to revisionist - are offered under the banner of "Modern Orthodoxy". In fact, even among its leadership, there is limited agreement "on the philosophical parameters of modern Orthodoxy". The boundaries here, with respect to Haredi and Conservative Judaism, have therefore become increasingly indistinct. Some elements of Haredi Judaism appear to be more receptive to messages that have traditionally been part of the Modern-Orthodox agenda. Similarly, at Modern Orthodoxy's left, many appear to align with more traditional elements of Conservative Judaism. In discussing "Modern Orthodoxy", it is thus also important to clarify its position with reference to other movements in Judaism: see Comparison with other movements below. Further, given this wide range of views, some see the possibility that, in fact, "[t]here is no longer a cohesive, singular Modern Orthodoxy", see further below.

Philosophy

Modern Orthodoxy traces its roots to the works of <u>Rabbis Azriel Hildesheimer</u> (1820-1899) and <u>Samson Raphael Hirsch</u> (1808-1888). While Hildesheimer's role is not disputed - comprising distinct <u>philosophic</u> and <u>pragmatic</u> contributions - Hirsch's role is less clear, with some Hirsch scholars arguing that his "Torah im Derech Eretz" philosophy is in fact at odds with that of Modern Orthodoxy; see further<u>below</u> and <u>in the Hildesheimer article</u> Today, the movement is additionally and particularly, influenced by the philosophy of Rabbi <u>Joseph B. Soloveitchik</u> and the closely related <u>Torah Umadda</u>, as well as by the writings of Rabbi <u>Abraham</u> Isaac Kook (Religious Zionism, strictly speaking a distinct philosophyhas an indirect influence.)

Torah im Derech Eretz

Hirsch's <u>Torah im Derech Eretz</u> (ארא בידור עם הואר) - "Torah with the 'Way of the World'/Society") is a philosophy of Orthodox Judaism that formalizes a relationship between halakhically observant Judaism and the modern world. Hirsch held that Judaism requires the application of Torah philosophy to all human endeavor and knowledge compatible with it. Thus, secular education becomes a positive religious duty. "Judaism is not a mere adjunct to life: It comprises all of life ... in the synagogue and the kitchen, in the field and the warehouse, in the office and the pulpit ... with the pen and the chisel." Hirsch's vision, although not unqualified, extended to the <u>sciences</u> as well as to (German) literature, <u>philosophy</u> and culture. Torah im Derech Eretz remains influential to this day in all branches of Orthodox Judaism.

<u>Neo Orthodoxy</u>, the movement descended from Hirsch's <u>Frankfurt</u> community, regards itself as positioned, ideologically, outside of contemporary Modern Orthodoxy; see further below.

Pragmatism

Rabbi <u>Azriel Hildesheimer</u>, along with Rabbi Hirsch, was insistent that Orthodox Jews living in the west should not segregate themselves behind ghetto walls. On the contrary, modern Jewish education must teach Jews how best to confront and deal with modernity in all of its aspects.^[15] His approach, "Cultured Orthodoxy", was defined as representing "unconditional agreement with the culture of the present day; harmony between Judaism and science; but also unconditional steadfastness in the faith and traditions of Judaism".^[15]

He was, however, "the pragmatist rather than the philosopher", and it is his actions, rather than his philosophy, which have become institutionalized in Modern Orthodoxy $^{[12]}$ and through which his influence is still felt.

He established Jewish education for males and females, which included both religious ansecular studies.

- He established <u>Hildesheimer Rabbinical Seminary</u> one of the first Orthodox<u>yeshivot</u> incorporating <u>modern Jewish</u> studies, secular studies, and academic scholarship in its curriculum.
- He was non-sectarian, and worked with communal leaders, even non-Orthodox ones, on issues thatfacted the community.
- He maintained traditional attachments to the and of Israel, and worked with the non-Orthodox on its behalf.

Torah uMadda'

Torah Umadda (שרת ביותר - "Torah and secular knowledge") is a philosophy concerning the secular world and Judaism, and in particular secular knowledge and Jewish knowledge. It envisions a personal - as opposed to philosophic - "synthesis" between Torah scholarship and Western, secular scholarship, entailing, also, positive involvement with the broader community. Here, the "individual has absorbed the attitudes characteristic of science, democracy, and Jewish life, and responds appropriately in diverse relations and contexts". [16] The resultant mode of Orthodox Judaism is referred to as Centrist Orthodoxy".

This philosophy, as formulated today, is to a large extent a product of the teachings and philosophy of Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik (1903-1993), Rosh Yeshiva at Yeshiva University. In Rav Soloveitchik's thought, Judaism, which believes that the world is "very good", enjoins man to engage in tikkun olam. "Halakhic Man" must therefore attempt to bring the sanctity and purity of the transcendent realm into the material world. Centrist Orthodoxy is the dominant mode of Modern Orthodoxy in the United States, while Torah Umadda remains closely associated with Yeshiva University.

Torah Umadda is related to Hirsch's *Torah im Derech Eretz*, but see below for a comparison between the two.

Religious Zionism

Modern Orthodoxy draws on the teachings of Rabbi <u>Abraham Isaac Kook</u> (1864-1935) - both as regards its views on <u>Jewish</u> peoplehood and as regards the (related) interaction with the secular world.

- "Rav Kook" saw Zionism as a part of a divine scheme finally to result in the resettlement of the Jewish people in its homeland, bringing salvation ("Geula") to the Jewish people, and the entire world.
- In Rav Kook's thought Kodesh and Chol (sacred and profane) play an important role Kodesh is the inner taam (reason / meaning) of reality while Chol is that which is detached from Kodesh and is without any meaning; Judaism, then, is the vehicle "whereby we sanctify our lives, and attach all the practical, secular elements of life to spiritual goals which reflect the absolute meaning of existence G-d Himsel 19.

In <u>Israel</u>, the <u>Religious Zionism</u> of the *Dati Leumi* (הת" לאומי), "National Religious") dominates Modern Orthodoxy. Here too, the ideological basis is largely drawn from the teachings of Rav Kook,^[9] and there is therefore much overlap; philosophical differences, as well as other "non-modern" forms of Religious Zionism, are discussedelow.

See also <u>Mizrachi</u>; <u>Bnei Akiva</u>; <u>National Religious Party</u>; <u>Hesder</u>; <u>Mechina</u>; <u>Gush Emunim</u>; Torat Eretz Yisrael.

Comparison with other movements

As above, Modern Orthodoxy comprises various approaches, ranging from traditionalist to revisionist, and the movement apparently overlaps with Conservative Judaism and with Haredi Judaism at its respective boundaries. At its centre too, the movement appears to share practices and values with Neo Orthodoxy and with Religious Zionism. Therefore, in clarifying what Modern Orthodoxy in fact entails, its positioning must be discussed with reference to these movements.

Haredi Judaism

See also under <u>Centrist Orthodoxy</u> and <u>Divine Providence</u> for further elaboration of the differences discussed here.

Although there is some question as how precisely to define the distinction between Modern Orthodoxy and <u>Haredi Judaism</u>, there is basic agreement that they may be distinguished on the basis of three major characteristics.

- 1. Modern Orthodoxy adopts a relatively inclusive stance toward society in general, and the larger Jewish community in particular.
- 2. Modern Orthodoxy is, in comparison, accommodating, "if not welcoming", t<u>modernity</u>, general scholarship, and science.
- 3. Modern Orthodoxy is almost uniformly receptive toward Israel and invited in addition to the Land of Israel) as having inherent religious significance.

A fourth difference suggested, relates to the acceptability of moderation within <u>Jewish law</u>. Both Modern Orthodoxy and Ultra Orthodoxy regard *Halakha* as divine in origin, and as such, no position is assumed without justification in the <u>Shulchan Aruch</u> and in the Acharonim. The movements differ, however, in their approach to strictures (*chumras*) and leniencies (*kulas*).

Modern Orthodoxy holds that strictures are not <u>normative</u>, rather, these are a matter of personal choice;^[19] "severity and leniency are relevant only in circumstances of factual doubt, not in situations of debate or varied practice. In the latter situations, the conclusion should be based solely on the legal analysis." (Note though, that in recent years, many Modern Orthodox Jews are described as "increasingly stringent in their adherence to Jewish law^[8]) See Torah Umadda: Moderation

In the Haredi view, on the other hand, "the most severe position ... is the most likely basis for unity and commonality of practice within the Orthodox community, and is therefore to be preferred". Further, "such severity ... results in the greatest certainty that God's will is being performed". [19][20] *Haredi Judaism* thus tends to adopt*chumras* as a norm.

As to the contention that Modern Orthodoxy's standards of observance of <u>halakha</u> are "relaxed", as opposed to moderate, see <u>below</u> under *Criticism*.

Modern Orthodoxy's efforts to encourage religious observance among non-Orthodox Jews has been likened to similar efforts by the Chabad movement. The similarity between the two groups in their relationships towards the non-Orthodox, and its adoption by some Haredi groups, has blurred the lines between the modern and Haredi segments of Orthodoxy [21]

Neo-Orthodoxy/Torah Im Derech Eretz

Both Modern Orthodoxy and Neo Orthodoxy, the movement directly descended from Hirsch's Frankfurt community, have combined Torah and secular knowledge with participation in contemporary western life, and thus some maintain that there is a degree of practical and philosophical overlap between the two. The movements are nevertheless distinct, and in general, Neo-Orthodoxy has taken a more qualified approach than Modern orthodoxy, emphasizing that followers must exercise caution in engagements with the secular world.

Note though that differences between the movements may be more than a question of degree: Hirsch scholars argue that Hirschian philosophy is at odds with that of Modern Orthodoxy, while Modern Orthodox scholars maintain that Modern Orthodoxy accords with Hirsch's worldview. These philosophical distinctions (though subtle), manifest in markedly divergent religious attitudes and perspectives; in fact, Shimon Schwab, second Rabbi of this community in the United States, is described as being "spiritually very distant" from Yeshiva University and Modern Orhodoxy.

From the viewpoint of Neo-Orthodoxy, that movement differs from Modern Orthodoxy (and particularly Centrist Orthodoxy) on three main counts.^[22][24]

- The role of secular life and culture: In the Hirschian view, interaction with the secular and the requisite acquisition of culture and knowledge is encouraged, only insofar as it facilitates the application of of the worldly matters. For Modern Orthodoxy on the other hand, secular culture and knowledge are seen as a complement to of the some extent, encouraged for their own sake. Some would suggest that in Modern Orthodoxy dudaism is enriched by interaction with modernity whereas in Neo-Orthodoxy human experience (and modernity) are enriched by the application of Torah outlook and practice.
- Priority of Torah versus Secular knowledge: In the Hirschian view Torah is the "sole barometer of truth" by which to judge secular disciplines, as "there is only one truth, and only one body of knowledge that can serve as the standard.... Compared to it, all the other sciences are valid only provisionally (Hirsch, commentary to Leviticus 18:4–5; see also Rashi ad loc.). By contrast, in the view of Modern Orthodoxyalthough Torah is the "preeminent"

- center", secular knowledge is considered to der "a different perspective that may not agree at all with [Tarah] ... [but] both together present the possibility of a larger truth". Torah Umadda, p. 236).
- Broader communal involvement: Neo-Orthodoxyinfluenced by Hirsch's philosophy on Austritt (secession), "could not countenance recognition of a non-believing body as a legitimate representative of the Jewish people", and is therefore opposed to the Mizrachi movement, which is a filiated with the World Zionist Organization and the Jewish Agency. [25] Modern Orthodoxy on the other hand, is chaacterised by its involvement with the broader Jewish Community and by its Religious Zionism

Religious Zionism

Broadly defined, <u>Religious Zionism</u> is a movement that embraces the idea of Jewish national <u>sovereignty</u>, often in connection with the belief in the ability of the Jewish people to bring about a <u>redemptive state</u> through natural means, and often attributing religious significance to the modern<u>State of Israel</u>. (This attitude is rejected by most Haredim - but not all, particularly the <u>Hardal</u> movement.) Thus, in this sense, Religious Zionism in fact encompasses a wide spectrum of religious views including Modern Orthodoxy

Note, however, that Modern Orthodoxy, in fact, overlaps to a large extent with "Religious Zionism" in its narrower form ("Throughout the world, a 'religious Zionist day school' is a synonym for a 'modern Orthodox day school' [26]). At the least, the two are not in any direct conflict, and generally coexist, sharing both values and adherents. Further, in practice, except at their extremes, the differences between Religious Zionism and Modern Orthodoxy in Israel are not pronounced, and they are often identical, especially in recent years and for the younger generation.

Nevertheless, the two movements are philosophically distinct on two broad counts.

- Firstly, (conservative) Religious Zionists differ with Modern Orthodoxy in its approach to secular knowledge [28] Here, engagement with the secular is permissible, and encouraged, but only insofar as this benefits the secular knowledge (or at the least, an extensive secular education) is viewed as valuable for practical ends, though not in and of itself. Seefurther under *Torah Umadda*.
- Secondly, under Religious Zionism, a "nationalistic coloration" is given to traditional religious concepts, whereas, by contrast, Modern Orthodoxy includes "a greater balance which includes openness to the non-Jewish worleth, thus, under Religious Zionism, the Jewish nation is conceived of as an "organic unity", whereas Modern Orthodoxy emphasises the individual [27]

Applying the above distinction, in <u>Israel</u> today, Modern Orthodoxy - as distinct from (right-wing) Religious Zionism - is represented by only a select group of institutions: the <u>Religious Kibbutz Movement</u>, <u>Neemanei Torah V'Avoda</u>, [29] the <u>Meimad</u> political party, and the <u>Shalom Hartman Institute</u>, <u>Yeshivat Har Etzion</u> / <u>Migdal Oz</u> and <u>Yeshivat Hamivtar</u>/Ohr Torah Stone Institutions/Midreshet Lindenbaum (some would include Yeshivat Hesder Petach Tikva, Yeshivat Ma'ale Gilboa and the Tzohar Foundation [30]).

Conservative Judaism

In some areas, Modern Orthodoxy's left wing appears to align with more traditional elements of <u>Conservative Judaism</u>, and in fact some on the left of Modern Orthodoxy have allied with the formerly Conservative <u>Union for Traditional Judaism</u>. Nonetheless, the two movements are generally described as distinct. Rabbi <u>Avi Weiss</u> - from the left of Modern Orthodoxy - stresses that Orthodox and Conservative Judaism are "so very different in ... three fundamental areas: *Torah mi-Sinai*, rabbinic interpretation, and rabbinic legislation". Weiss argues as follows:

- Torah mi-Sinai ("Torah From Sinai"): Modern Orthodoxy in line with the rest of Othodoxy, holds that Jewish law is Divine in origin, and as such, no underlying principle may be compromised in accounting for changing political, social or economic conditions^[32] whereas Conservative Judaism holds that Poskim should make use of literary and historical analysis in deciding Jewish lawand may reverse decisions of the Acharonim that are held to be inapplicable today [31][33]
- Rabbinic interpretation: (Modern) Orthodoxy contends that legal authority is cumulative, and that a contemporary <u>posek</u> (decisor) can only issue judgments based on a full history of Jewish legal preceder whereas the implicit argument of the Conservative movement is that precedent provides illustrations of possible positions rather than binding law. Conservatism, therefore, remains free to select whichever position within the prior history appeals to it. [31][34]
- Rabbinic legislation: Since the (Modern) Orthodox community is ritually observant, Rabbinic law legislated by (today's) Orthodox rabbis can meaningfully become binding if accepted by the community (seminhag). Conservative Judaism, on the other hand, has a largely non-observant laik 11[31] Thus, although Conservatism

similarly holds that "no law has authority unless it becomes part of the concern and practice of the communit $[3^{3}]$ communal acceptance of a "permissive custom" is not "meaningful", and, as a result, related Rabbinic legislation cannot assume the status of law

In general, Modern Orthodoxy does not, therefore, view the process by which the Conservative movement decides *halakha* as legitimate - or with the non-normative weighting assigned to particular halakha by the Conservative movement. In particular, Modern Orthodoxy disagrees with many of Conservative Judaism's *halakhic* rulings, particularly as regards issues of <u>egalitarianism</u>. See further on the Orthodox view and the Conservative view.

Modern Orthodoxy clearly differs from the approach of <u>Reform Judaism</u> and <u>Reconstructionist Judaism</u>, which do not consider *halakha* to be normative.

Criticism

This section deals with criticism relating to standards of observance and to social issues. See "Criticism" under Torah Umadda for discussions of philosophy.

Standards of observance

There is an often repeated contention that Modern Orthodoxy - beyond its approach to *chumrahs* ("strictures") <u>described above</u> - has lower standards of observance of <u>traditional Jewish laws and customs</u> than other branches of <u>Orthodox Judaism</u>. This view is largely anecdotal, and is based on individual behaviour, as opposed to any formal, institutional pointion; [37] see <u>above</u>:

There are at least two distinct types of Modern Orthodox.. One is philosophically or ideologically modern, while the other is more appropriately characterized as behaviorally modern.... [The] philosophically Modern Orthodox would be those who are meticulously observant of Halakhah but are, nevertheless, philosophically modern.... The behaviorally Modern Orthodox, on the other hand, are not deeply concerned with philosophical ideas ... by and large, they define themselves as Modern Orthodox [either] in the sense that they are not meticulously observant [or] in reference to ... right-wing Orthodox [1]

[This] group is appropriately described as "modern" in the sense that those who see themselves as part of it are committed to the tradition, in general, but feel free to pick and choose in their observance of rituals. In contrast to the more traditional Orthodox, they do not observe all of the rituals as deemed obligatory by the traditional community. Their sense of "freedom of choice", although never articulated theoretically, is as evident as it is among many other contemporary Americans who view themselves as religiously traditional, but, nevertheless, are selective in their religiosity.^[12]

Additionally, whereas the Modern Orthodox position is (generally) presented as "unquestioned allegiance to the primacy of Torah, and that the apprehension of all other intellectual disciplines must be rooted and viewed through the prism of Torah", [38] <u>Haredi</u> groups have sometimes compared Modern Orthodoxy with early <u>Reform Judaism</u> in <u>Germany</u>: Modern Orthodox Rabbis have been criticised for attempting to modifyJewish law, in adapting Judaism to the needs of themodern world.

Note that claims of this nature have been commonplace within Orthodox Judaism since the first "reforms" of Samson Raphael Hirsch and Azriel Hildesheimer. Thus, in Europe of the early 19th century, all of Judaism that differed from the strictest forms present at the time was called "Reform". Then, as now, Modern Orthodoxy took pains to distance its "reforms", which were consistent with the Shulkhan Arukh and poskim, from those of the Reform movement (and the Conservative movement), which were not.

It is foolish to believe that it is the wording of a prayer, the notes of a synagogue tune, or the order of a special service, which form the abyss between [Reform and Orthodoxy].... It is not the so-called Divine Service which separates us, [rather it] is the theory - the principle [of faithfulness to Jewish law] ... if the Torah is to you the Law of

God how dare you place another law above it and go along with God and His Law only as long as you thereby "progress" in other respects at the same time? *Religion Allied to Progress*, Samson Raphael Hirsch)

Sociological and philosophical dilemmas

Some observe ^[12] that the ability of Modern Orthodoxy to attract a large following and maintain its strength as a movement is inhibited by the fact that it embracesmodernity - its *raison d'être* - and that it is highlyrational and intellectual.

- Modern Orthodoxy is, almost by definition, inhibited from becoming a strong movement, because this would entail organization and authority to a degree "which goes against the very grain of modernity". A related **flid**ulty is that Modern Orthodox<u>rabbis</u> who do adopt stringencies may in the process, lose the support of precisely the "Modern" group they sought to lead. The logic: since one of the characteristics <u>ofeligious orthodoxy</u> is the submission to the authority of <u>its tradition</u>, the individual is expected to conform to all of its dictates, wherea<u>s</u>nodernity, by contrast, emphasizes a measure of personal autonomy as well as rationalist truth. The very term "Modern Orthodoxy" is thus, in some sense, anoxymoron.
- Modern Orthodoxy's "highly intellectual and rational stance" presents its own diculties. Firstly, the ideology entails built-in tensions and frequently requires conscious living with inconsistency [9][16] (even in the term itself: modernity vs. orthodoxy). Secondly there are also those who question whether "the literature ... with its intellectually elitist bias fails to directly address the majority of its practitioners [39] The suggestion here is that Modern Orthodoxy may not provide a directly applicable theology for the contemporary Modern Orthodox family; setenther discussion under Torah Umadda.
- As observed above, the (precise) "philosophical parameters of modern Orthodoxy" are not readily defined. It is posited then that "modern orthodoxy", as such, may be disappearing, "being sucked intoluralistic Judaism on the left and yeshivish on the right". [40] "Modern orthodoxy", then, as opposed to constituting andeological spectrum centred on a common core of values, is, in fact, (tending towards) several entirely separate movements. In fact, " [m]any are making the argument that the time has come to state the inevitable or to admit that which already has occurred: There is no longer a cohesive, singular Modern OrthodoxySeparate rabbinical schools and separate rabbinic organizations, the argument goes, reflect the reality of a community divided.[13]

Important figures

Many Orthodox Jews find the intellectual engagement with the modern world as a virtue. Examples of Orthodox <u>rabbis</u> who promote or have promoted this worldview include:

- Rabbi Marc D. Angel former president of the Rabbinical Council of America rabbi of Shearith Israel (a Spanish-Portuguese synagogue in New York), and a ∞-founder of the IRF (International Rabbinic Fellowship).
- Rabbi Yehuda Amital A Hungarian survivor of the Holocaust, Rabbi Amital emigrated to Israel in 1944, and resumed his yeshiva studies in Jerusalem. During the War of Independence, he served in the Hagana armored corps, taking part in the famous battle of Latrun. Subsequentlyhe took an active role in the development of ¥shivat Hadarom, where he was involved in the formulation of the idea of Yeshivat Hesder. Following the Six Day War, Rabbi Amital founded and assumed leadership of Yeshivat Har Etzion He was a dominant public figure in Israel who was widely respected on matters of religious and national concern.
- Raymond Apple former senior rabbi of the Great Synagogue, Sydney, Australia, and the pre-eminent Jevish spokesperson on Judaism in Australia.
- Dr. Samuel Belkin, former President of Yeshiva University
- Eliezer Berkovits- philosopher, author of many works including Not In Heaven: The Nature and Function of Halakha and Faith after the Holocaust
- Saul Berman director of the now defunctEdah, a Modern Orthodox advocacy organization.
- Rabbi Dr. J. David Bleich, professor at Yeshiva University and expert in Jewish law
- Rabbi Dr. <u>Shalom Carmy</u> professor of Jewish Studies and Philosophy at <u>Yeshiva University</u>, a prominent Modern Orthodox theologian and student of The Rav
- Rabbi <u>Chuck Davidson</u>, an activist against the <u>Chief Rabbinate of Israel</u> specifically in the areas of conversion and marriage, where he is creating rogue alternatives to the <u>official paths.</u> [41]
- Dr. Barry Freundel- former rabbi of Kesher Israel Congregationin Washington, D.C., his specialties were Jewish medical ethics, *eruvim*, and conversion; he was fired in 2014 after being arrested and subsequently pleaded guilty to 52 counts of voyeurism. Because of his crimes he is no longer considered an authority within the community
- Rabbi Dr. Irving Greenberg (Yitz) is a theologian, lecturer and author of contemporary Jewish thought. A student of Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik, Greenberg espouses the philosophy of the under and has written extensively on the theological impact of the Holocaust and the creation of the state of the Holocaust and the creation of the state.

- Rabbi David Hartman Rabbi and founder of Shalom Hartman Institute in Jerusalem, a prominent philosopher lecturer, and author, and a student of Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik
- Rabbi Leo Jung, Rabbi at the Jewish Center (Manhattan, New York)
- Rabbi Norman Lamm Rosh Yeshiva, Yeshiva University, Orthodox Forum; author of Torah U-Maddah. One of the leading voices for the validity and importance of Modern Orthodoxy
- Rabbi Dr. Rabbi Aharon Lichtenstein- Lichtenstein grew up in the United States, earning Semicha at Ashiva University, and a Ph.D. in English Literature & Harvard. He is committed to intensive and original Trah study, and articulates a bold Jewish worldview that embraces modernity effecting the tradition of his teacher and father-in-law Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik In 1971, Lichtenstein answered Rabbi Amital's request to join him at the helm of Yeshivat Har Etzion. He is a source of inspiration for a wide circle of Jewry for both his educational attainments and his intellectual leadership. Author of Leaves of Faith: The World of Jewish Learning and By His Light: Character and Values in the Service of God
- Rabbi Haskel Lookstein- Rabbi of Congregation Kehilath Jeshurunin Manhattan and principal of the Ramaz School Voted by Newsweek magazine as the most influential orthodox rabbi in the United States in 2008. Rabbi Lookstein is best known for his strong political activism, which began with numerous visits to the former Soviet Union, numerous rallies on behalf of Natan Sharansky and continues today with activism on behalf of the Jews of Israel and worldwide.
- Rabbi Shlomo Riskin Formerly rabbi of the Lincoln Square Synagogue in Manhattan, he emigrated the become the Chief Rabbi of Efrat.
- Rabbi Hershel Schachter- one of Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchiks most prominent students, dean of the Katz Kollel at the Yeshiva University-afiliated Rabbi Isaac Elchanon Theological Seminary (RIETS). Has published several works attempting to establish a definitive view of Rabbi Soloveitchik's Waltanschauung.
- Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik- Known as "The Rav", he was effectively the spiritual and intellectual guide of Modern Orthodoxy in America for the mid-20th centuryHe is the author of *The Lonely Man of Faith* and *Halakhic Man*, an outspoken Zionist, an opponent of extending rabbinic authority into areas of secular expertise, and a proponent of some interdenominational cooperation, such as the Rabbinical Council of Americas participation in the now-defunct Synagogue Council of America He was known as a stern leader who described in his writings the spiritual loneliness and internal isolation of the modern religious "man of faith".
- HaRav Gedalia Dov Schwartz- an eminent Modern Orthodox rabbi, scholarand posek (halakhic authority) in Chicago, Illinois. Since 1991, he has been the av beis din (head of the rabbinical court) of both the Beth Din of America and the Chicago Rabbinical Council.
- Rav Dr. Moshe David Tendler Rav Tendler is the Rabbi Isaac and Bella Tendler Professor of Jewish Medical Ethics, and is a Professor of Biology as well as being a Rosh Yeshiva in Yeshivat Rav Ytzchak Elchanan (MYP/RIETS). Holding a Ph.D. in Microbiology Rav Tendler is among the most prominent students of bth Rav Moshe Feinstein, zt'l (his father-in-law) and Rav Yosef Dov Sobveitchik. Rabbi Tendler is an expert onmedical ethics as it pertains to Jewish law. He is the author of Practical Medical Halakhah, a textbook of Jewish responsa to medical issues, and "Pardes Rimonim", a book about the halakhot of Taharat Mishpacha. Rabbi Tendler is currently Rabbi of the Community Synagogue in Monsey New York, and is the chairman of the Bioethical Commission, RCA, and of the Medical Ethics Task Force, UJA-Federation of Greater New York.
- Joseph Telushkin Author, teacher, lecturer
- Marc B. Shapiro Author, lecturer
- Joel B. Wolowelsky Yeshiva of Flatbush Orthodox Forum; Tradition; MeOtzar HoRav
- Rabbi Walter Wurzburger former pulpit Rabbi, editor of Tradition magazine, and head of the RCA

Modern Orthodox advocacy groups

There are a few organizations dedicated to furthering Modern Orthodoxy as a religious trend:

■ The largest and oldest are the Orthodox Union (Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America), which sponsors youth groups, kashrut supervision, and many other activities, and its rabbinic counterpart, the abbinical Council of America (RCA). Both have Israel and diaspora (outside the land of Israel) programs.

Others include:

- Meimad is a political/intellectual alternative to Israel's highly nationalistic religious parties or those hostile to modern secularist values
- The Jewish Orthodox Feminist Alliance(JOFA): a forum for enhancing the roles of Othodox Jewish women within the Orthodox community and reducing Orthodox religious disabilities against women.
- Ne'emanei Torah Va'Avodah is a non-profit σganization operating in Israel whose proposed goal is "σ forge a more open and tolerant discourse in Religious Zionism, one that integrates a halachic lifestyle with active engagement in Israeli society, in order to strengthen tolerane, equality, and social responsibility".

See also

- Bar-Ilan University
- Hebrew Theological College
- Hebrew University of Jerusalem
- Jerusalem College of Technology
- Touro College
- Religious Zionism
- Young Israel

Notes

- 1. Charles S. Liebman, *Modern orthodoxy in Israel* (https://web.archive.org/web/20051109070715/http://wwwfindarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0411/is_4_47/ai_54600118)Judaism, Fall, 1998
- 2. William B. Helmreich and Reuel Shinnar Modern Orthodoxy in America: Possibilities for a Movement under Sieg (h ttp://www.jcpa.org/cjc/jl-383-helmreich.htm)
- 3. Rabbi Saul J. Berman, The Ideology of Modern Orthodoxy(http://www.shma.com/2001/02/the-ideologyof-modern-orthodoxy/)
- 4. This includes Modern Orthodox's unequivocal stance prohibiting its members from engaging in gay and Lesbian relationships. There is, however a difference of opinion as to what stance to take with individuals who violate halakha in this regard. See "Statement of principles of the orthodox rabbis'(http://statementofprinciplesnya.blogspot. co.il/). Retrieved 15 September 2012.
- 5. Rabbi Norman Lamm: Some Comments on Centrist Orthodoxyhttp://www.edah.org/backend/coldfusion/seach/document.cfm?title=Some%20Comments%20on%20Centrist%20Orthodoxy&hyperlink=lamm21%2Ehtml&type=Document&category=Orthodoxy%20and%20Modernity&authortitle=Rabbi%20Dr&firstname=Norman&lastname=Lamm&pubsource=Tradition%2C%2022%3A3&authorid=285)
- 6. Julie Weiner. "Yeshiva U. confronts fault lines of modern Othodoxy" (http://www.jweekly.com/article/full/15314/yeshiva-u-confronts-fault-lines-of-modern-orthodoxy/.) *Weekly*. Jewish Telegraphic Agency. Retrieved 2014-11-19.
- 7. Rabbi David Bigman: Finding A Home for Critical almud Study, The Edah Journal 2:1
- 8. Michael Kress, The State of Orthodox Judaism Today (https://www.jewishvirtuallibraryorg/jsource/Judaism/orthostat e.html)
- 9. Lisa Richlen (2003)."Then and Now: Trends in Israeli Judaism"(https://web.archive.org/web/20050324171603/http://www.wzo.org.il/en/resources/viewasp?id=1219). Archived from the original on March 24, 2005Retrieved 2005-11-29, wzo.org.il
- 10. Chief Rabbi Dr. <u>Jonathan Sacks</u> Shattering the Idols: The Struggle for Holiness in a Secular Age*Jewish Action*, Volume 62 No. 1.
- 11. Alexander Goldberg (2009-08-13)."Modern Orthodoxy" (http://www.bbc.co.uk/religion/religions/judaisn/subdivisions/modernorthodoxy_1.shtml) BBC. Retrieved 2014-11-19.
- 12. Chaim I. Waxman, Dilemmas of modern orthodoxy: sociological and philosophica(https://web.archive.org/web/2006 0219212642/http://wwwfindarticles.com/p/artcles/mi_m0411/is_n1_v42/ai_13796421/print)
- 13. Shmuel Hain: Op-Ed: The vital center and Modern Orthodoxy(http://jta.org/news/article/2010/02/07/1010497/op-ed-t he-vital-center-and-modern-orthodoxy) jta.org
- 14. S. R. Hirsch: "Religion Allied to Progress'(https://www.ucalgary.ca/%7Eelsegal/363_Transp/Orthodoxy/SRHirsch.html)
- 15. Marc B. Shapiro, *Rabbi Esriel Hildesheimer's Program of Trah u-Madda* (http://www.yutorah.org/_shiurim/%2FTU 9%5FShapiro%2Epdf)
- 16. Rabbi Sol Roth, The Jewish Idea of Community(http://www.yutorah.org/_materials/ACF4B2Bpdf)
- 17. Rabbi Ronnie Ziegler: Introduction To The Philosophy of Rav Solovetchik: The Need for Action(http://www.vbm-tora.h.org/archive/rav/rav13.htm)
- 18. Rabbi Hillel Rachmani:Introduction to the Thought of Rav Kook(http://www.vbm-torah.org/archive/rk1-kook.ltm)
- Rabbi Saul Berman (edah.org): <u>Diverse Orthodox Attitudes: Chumrah</u> (http://www.edah.org/backend/coldfusion/seach/diverse.cfm#Chumrah)

- 20. See also, *Mesillat Yesharim* Ch 14 (http://www.shechem.org/torah/mesyesh/14htm) Archived (https://web.archive.org/web/20100714155030/http://www.shechem.org/torah/mesyesh/14.htm) 2010-07-14 at the Wayback Machine
- 21. Ferziger, Adam S. "Church/sect theory and American orthodoxy reconsidered". Ambivalent Jew Charles S. Liebman in memoriam, ed. Stuart Cohen and Bernard Susser (2007): 107-124.
- 22. See, for example: Joseph Elias' introduction to The Nineteen Letters Feldheim, 1995. ISBN 0-87306-696-0
- 23. See, for example: Norman LammTorah Umadda: The Encounter of Religious Earning and Worldly Knowledge in the Jewish Tradition. Jason Aronson, 1994.ISBN 1-56821-231-3
- 24. Note also that others claim that these distinctions -save the last one are unclear and/or unsubstantiated given the selective nature of the evidence.
- 25. Ernst J. Bodenheimer and Nosson Scherman Rabbi Joseph Breuer: The Rav of Frankfurt, U.S.A (http://www.tzemac.hdovid.org/gedolim/jo/tworld/rbreue/html) Archived (https://web.archive.org/web/20051109103642/http://www.tzemac.chdovid.org/gedolim/jo/tworld/rbreue/html) 2005-11-09 at the Wayback Machine.
- 26. Blau, Rav Yosef, *Religious Zionism And Modern Orthodoxy*(https://web.archive.org/web/20041216072651/http://www.mizrachi.org/ideas/viewasp?id=122), Mizrachi, archived from the original (http://www.mizrachi.org/ideas/viewasp?id=122) on 2004-12-16.
- 27. Fischer, Shlomo, <u>Fundamentalist or Romantic Nationalist?</u>: <u>Israeli Modern Orthodox(https://web.archive.org/web/20070926234137/http://www.vanleer.org.il/Data/UploadedFiles/Files/fundamentalis.doc)IL</u>: Van Leer, archived from the original (http://www.vanleer.org.il/Data/UploadedFiles/Files/fundamentalis.doc)n 2007-09-26.
- 28. Isseroff, Ami, *Religious Zionism Revisits the State of Israe*(http://www.zionism-israel.com/ezine/religious_zionism.ht m), Zionism Israel
- 29. Tora Voda (http://www.toravoda.org.il/), IL.
- 30. Tzohar (http://www.tzohar.org.il/english/), IL.
- 32. See for example, RabbiAryeh Kaplan, The Rules of Halacha(http://www.aish.com/jl/m/pm/48932007.htm).
- 33. Elliott N Dorff: <u>"How Conservative Judaism Makes Decisions in Jewish law halakha(http://www.adath-shalom.ca/dorff158.htm)</u>
- 34. Rabbi Professor David Golinkin: *The Hows and Whys of Conservative Halakhal* (http://www.responsafortoday.com/about/about.htm)
- 35. According to the 1990National Jewish Population Survey 29% of Conservative congregants buy onlykosher meat and 15% consider themselvesSabbath observant According to the 2001 survey 30% keep Kosher at home and 50% Light Shabbat candles. See also:Sacred Cluster #6(http://wwwjtsa.edu/x497.xml#6)Archived (https://web.archive.org/web/20111005151246/http://wwwjtsa.edu/x497.xml) 2011-10-05 at the Wayback Machine, jtsa.edu and Conservative Halakha
- 36. See for example, What is Modern Orthodox?-Hashkafah.com(http://www.hashkafah.com/index.php?showtopic=192 6&st=20).
- 37. https://web.archive.org/web/20051110172630/http://yuweb.addrom/v63i9/news/edah.shtml) Archived from the original (http://yuweb.addrom/v63i9/news/edah.shtml) on November 10, 2005 Retrieved September 2, 2005. Missing or empty | title= (help)
- 38. https://web.archive.org/web/20070308081945/http://yuweb.addcom/v67i7/culture/toyou.html) Archived from the original (http://yuweb.addr.com/v67i7/culture/toyou.html) on March 8, 2007. Retrieved March 26, 2006. Missing or empty | title= (help)
- 39. Rabbi Prof. Alan Brill, Judaism in Culture: Beyond the Bifurcation of Trah and Madda (http://www.edah.org/backend/JournalArticle/4_1_brill.pdf)
- 40. This tongue in cheek comment is from frumsatire.net (http://www.frumsatire.net/2009/05/13/artscrdd-may-soon-be-lo sing-its-siddur-monopoly/) although see Shmuel Hain in note.
- 41. http://www.jpost.com/Magazine/Conversion-inIsrael-Where-are-we-heading-513873

References

■ Etengoff, C. (2011). "An Exploration of religious gender differences amongst Jewish-American emerging adults of different socio-religious subgroups" *Archive for the Psychology of Religion*, 33, 371-391.

Retrieved from 'https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Modern_Orthodox_Judaism&oldid=852497726

This page was last edited on 29 July 2018, at 11:32(UTC).

Text is available under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike Licenseadditional terms may apply By using this site, you agree to the <u>Terms of Use and Privacy Policy.</u> Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the <u>Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.</u>, a non-profit organization.</u>